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ABSTRACT

A generalized Verhulst model subject to seasonal change in both fertility rate and carrying capacity is outlined. Numerical solutions to the Verhulst equations are employed to obtain optimal fertility rate phase shift with respect to carrying capacity. Possible natural selection for a preferred season of conception is investigated using agent based simulations. Both experiments indicate that synchronization of fertility rate to environment carrying capacity is beneficial to species survival.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In 1842 Alfred Lord Tennyson wrote the poem Locksley Hall [1] in which appears the immortal line:

In the Spring a young man’s fancy lightly turns to thoughts of love

A 50 year study of a conservative Scottish population in the first half of the 20th century undertaken by Russell et al. [2] shows sinusoidal trends in birth data that leads one to suspect an environmentally driven fertility cycle. We quote from that paper:

Examination of the birth rhythm show either one or (more frequently) two peaks. The classic European pattern is one with a main peak in spring (summer conceptions) and a smaller one in the autumn (winter conceptions).

In this article we will use a modified Verhulst model to show that the timing of conceptions by a population governed by a seasonal carrying capacity has a marked effect on the resilience of the population as a whole. Our Verhulst model will suggest that the most resilient population will display a growth rate peak at the summer solstice which corresponds to autumn conceptions.

We will then make use of an agent based simulation to demonstrate that seasonal carrying capacity might be responsible for a natural selection in a human population that favors a predisposition to autumn conceptions.

Both the Verhulst model and the agent based simulation are implemented with R scripts that make use of an open source R package, simecol [3].

2 A GENERALIZED VERHULST MODEL

A generalized Verhulst model of population growth is given by

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = r(t)N(t) \left(1 - \frac{N(t)}{k(t)}\right)$$

where at time $t$, $N(t)$ is the population count, $r(t)$ is the per capita intrinsic growth rate and $k(t)$ is the carrying capacity of the habitat.

In the standard Verhulst model $r$ and $k$ are constant and it is well known that if $N(0) < k$, then $N(t)$ tends monotonically to $k$. See [4] for an analysis of standard Verhulst models.

The above result will be true even if $r$ is a function of time provided $k$ is constant. However, the result may not be true if $k$ is also a function of time. In [5] the authors point out, that in this case $N(t)$ will increase if at time $t$ both $N(t) > k(t)$ and $r(t) < 0$, indicating a breakdown in the model. In this article, in order to avoid this situation, we will restrict $r(t)$ to be non-negative.

We illustrate the generalized Verhulst model using sinusoids to represent seasonal fluctuations in carrying capacity and growth rate. We model the growth rate as the difference between fertility and mortality. We will allow a phase shift parameter, $\phi$, in our fertility model.

$$k(t) = C_k - A_k \cos(2\pi t)$$
$$m(t) = C_m - A_m \cos(2\pi t)$$
$$f(t) = C_f - A_f \cos(2\pi (t + \phi))$$
$$r(t) = f(t) - m(t)$$
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Note that the overall growth rate has the same period as the carrying capacity and to ensure \( r(t) \geq 0 \) for all phase shifts, \( \phi \), we require

\[
C_f - A_f \geq C_m + A_m
\]  

(3)

This condition can be interpreted as restricting our model to populations whose fertility is greater than their mortality in the absence of a carrying capacity.

Also note that we have arbitrarily chosen time to start in mid-winter (December 21 in the Northern hemisphere). The middle of the year is the summer solstice, June 21.

In Figs. 1 and 2 we demonstrate the effect of phase shift in seasonal fertility rate. In each figure we compute the solutions to the Verhulst model when the initial population is well below the carrying capacity. An R script for reproducing these plots is given in the appendices.

In Fig. 1(1) the phase shift in the fertility is set to \( \phi = 0 \) (fertility is in-sync with carrying capacity) and the solution to the modified model eventually becomes periodic and oscillates just above the mean carrying capacity.

In Fig. 2 the phase shift in fertility is set to \( \phi = \frac{1}{2} \) (fertility is out-of-sync with carrying capacity) and we observe that this could be detrimental to population’s survival in the long run as now the solution to the Verhulst model takes longer to recover and does so to a level well under the mean carrying capacity. If such a population were subjected to repeated decimations due to natural disasters it may very well become extinct. In the next section we investigate whether this phenomenon could result in a natural selection for a preferred season of conception.

3 AN AGENT BASED SIMULATION

We will now employ the same R package, \texttt{simecol} \cite{3}, to construct an agent based simulation of a maternal population of individuals evolving under the influence of a seasonal carrying capacity. Each individual in our simulation will be female with 3 state variables:

- \textit{age}: the current age (in months) of this individual
- \textit{pcm}: the preferred conception month of this individual (a phenotype inherited from the mother but subject to mutation)
- \textit{fetus}: the current age (in months) of this individual’s fetus

The age distribution of the initial population is biased towards young individuals by means of a beta distribution. Initially preferred conception months for each individual are distributed uniformly over the whole year and a proportion of the population possess fetuses of uniformly distributed age.

The time step of the simulation is one month. Again month 0 is arbitrarily centered on the northern hemisphere winter solstice, December 21. Spring is in month 3, Summer in month 6 and Autumn in month 9. The population undergoes monthly updates:

- \textit{live}: each individual and each fetus in the population has their age incremented by one month.
- \textit{survive}: using seasonal carrying capacity and a mortality model, \textit{Siler} \cite{4}, individuals are selected for termination and removed from the population.
- \textit{birth}: fetuses reaching full term, with number tempered by carrying capacity, are upgraded to fully fledged individuals and inherit their preferred conception month (subject to mutation) from their mothers.
- \textit{conceive}: individuals in the appropriate age bracket that do not currently possess a fetus and
whose preferred conception month is this month are selected for child bearing.

The `simecol` package allows us to evolve the population over time keeping track population size and distribution of preferred conception month. In Fig. 3, we show the results of a 250 year long simulation. The preferred conception month drifts towards month 9 which is Autumn in our time frame.

\[ N_0 = 2000, k(t) = 8000 + 2000 \cos(t) \]

**Figure 3**: abundance and most popular pcm

In Fig. 4, we give snapshots of the evolution in the distribution of preferred conception month over the running time of the simulation again showing natural selection for Autumn conceptions.

\[ N_0 = 2000, k(t) = 8000 + 2000 \cos(t) \]

**Figure 4**: evolution of pcm distribution

The R script that produced these figures is given in the appendices. It takes about one hour to run on a standard laptop. Setting the carrying capacity fluctuation parameter to zero and re-running the script confirms that there is no drift in preferred conception month when the carrying capacity is constant.

4 CONCLUSION

The classic Verhulst model suggests that a population will recover best from a calamity if individuals in the population time their conceptions so that growth rate in the population has maximum increase at the peak of the carrying capacity cycle. The agent based simulation of a population evolving under the influence of a seasonal carrying capacity indicates that preferred conception will evolve under natural selection so that growth is greatest at the peak of the carrying capacity. The agent based model confirms the synchronization prediction of the classical differential equation model. However it should be noted that the two models are only weakly supportive of each other. They do not agree on the size of population at which stability is reached or on the time taken to achieve such stability.

The data set alluded to in the introduction indicated two peaks in conceptions, one in Summer and one in Winter. We realize that our models are extremely simple and cannot hope to fully explain real human growth rate data however we would like to suggest that the natural peak in Autumn may have been pulled in two directions due to social issues such as regular Summer and Christmas holidays.

We would also like to suggest that the `simecol` R package is a useful classroom tool for students of population modeling.
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OBITUARY
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APPENDIX A

# an R script for the Verhulst ode model install.packages("simecol")
library("simecol")
help("simecol")

ode <- new("odeModel",
  main = function(time, init, parms){
    with(as.list(c(init, parms)),
      {
        dN1 <- c(time, cf, af, cm, am, phi) * 
        N1 = r (time, cf, af, cm, am, phi) * 
        N1 = (N1/(k(time,ck,ak))))
    }
  });
  (af+al) should be greater than (dexam)
  to ensure positive growth at all times
  and all phase shifts
  parms currently (c(af=1, cf=af, cm=af, am=af, phi=af))
  start the simulation from
  and mid summer (from = 1/2)
times currently (from = 0, to = 15, by = 0.01),
  init = c(N(0)=2000, phi=0.5),
solver = "lsoda"
}

# define a special plot function for the model
setMethod("plot", c("odeModel", "missing"),
  function(x, y, ...)
  {
    o <- out(x)
    p <- parame(x)
    capacity <- function(t){
      k(t, p["ck"], p["ak"])
    }
    growth <- function(t){
      gro <- r(t, p["cf"], p["af"], p["cm"], p["am"], p["phi"])
    } if(gro < 0)
    stop("negative growth rate")
    return(gro)
  }
  pop <- o[2]
  ccpp <- sapply(time,capacity)
  mid <- rep(p["ck"],length(time))
  grp <- mid + 200
  matplot(time,cbind(mid,ccp,grp),
  xlab = "Time",ylab = "Population",
  type = "l",
  col = c("green","green","red","blue"),
  lty = c("solid","solid","red","blue"),
  lwd = 1)
  main = paste("Phase shift = ",
  toString(p["phi"]))
}
)
run the model
ode <- sim(ode)
plot(ode)

APPENDIX B

# agent based model of drift
# in preferred conception month (pcm)
library("simecol")
startPop <- 2000
initFert <- 0.5
setClass("agentBasedModel",
  representation = "list",
  init = "data.frame",
  contains = "simOh")
abm <- new("agentBasedModel",
  main simulation loop
  # time is an integer
  # time step is one month
  # init contains a list of all agents
  # parms is a list of model parameters
  main = function(time, init, parms) {
    np <- nrow(init)
    # age the population by one month
    # agents and their fetuses
    init <- live(init, parms)
    # apply a mortality function
    # controlled by carrying capacity
    init <- survive(init, parms)
    np <- nrow(init)
    # cause full-term fetuses
    # to undergo birthing
    init <- birth(init, parms)
    np <- nrow(init)
    # cause agents with matching
time to conceive
    init <- conceive(init, parms)
    # report on population, births and deaths
    print(paste("time = ",toString(time),
    "population = ",toString(np),
    "births = ",toString(np-np))
    "deaths = ",toString(np-np))
    init}
  };
  parms <- list(
    # parameters of the model
    lifeMax = 60 + 12, # (40 years)
    minFert = 12, # (12 years)
    maxFert = 40 + 12, # (40 years)
    # Siler mortality model parameters
    # (m) = a1*exp(b1*s) + a2 + a3*exp(b3+s)
    a1 = 0.5,
    b1 = 0.5,
    a2 = 0.003,
    b2 = 0.003,
    # carrying capacity
    # cap(t) = c1 = c2 + cos(2 * pi * t / 12)
  )
}
# age the agents and fetuses

# depending on age in years

# we use a Beta distribution

# to model initial age distribution

# a user supplied function

# mortality model

# we run the simulation over 250 years

# we write our own solver routine

# to model initial age distribution

# actual preferred conception month

# is distributed uniformly

# a user supplied function

# mortality model

# a plotting function to match observer function

# run the model

# note maximum in July (t=6)

CK = 8000, \text{mean}

Ak = 2000 \text{g fluctuation}

\text{growth rate influences both deaths}

\text{and conceptions each month}

\text{and growthRate} \leq 0.5

\text{if data frame(}

age = floor(\text{runif(startPop) \times 0.2} + 60 \times 12),

\text{pcmDrift} = \text{function}(pcm) \times 0.9 \times \text{runif(startPop) + } \text{pcmDrift}

\text{where all agents have not conceived}

\text{initially a pcm phenotype}

\text{compute the most popular class in a vector}

\text{mortality model}

\text{allow agents in fertile age band to conceive}

\text{current month}

\text{f or a vector of pcm}

\text{compute conception hits}

\text{allow for genetic drift in pcm phenotype}

\text{mean (which (}

\text{table(vec) == max(table(vec)) ) )}

\text{allow for genetic drift in pcm phenotype}

\text{pcmDrift = \text{function}(pcm) \times \text{carryingCap}(time) / nc} \times 2

\text{we run the simulation over 250 years}

\text{record at each time step}

\text{population size, mean age, most pop pcm}

\text{pcm counts and no of fetuses}

\text{number <= \text{row(res)}}

\text{meanage <= \text{mean(fetuses)}}

\text{fetuses <= \text{row(subset(res, fetuses > 0)}}

\text{winpcm <= \text{myMode(res$pcm)}}

\text{pcmDist <= \text{table(res$pcm)}}

\text{c(number=number, meanage=meanage,}

\text{winpcm=winpcm, fetuses=fetuses,}

\text{pcmDist=pcmDist)}

\text{init <= yInit}

\text{times <= fromto(y$times) }

\text{func <= y$func}

\text{parms <= y$parms}

\text{inputs <= y$inputs}

\text{equations <= y$equations}

\text{equations <= addenv(equations)}

\text{environment(func) <= \text{environment}}

\text{pcm$DELATAT <= \text{0}}

\text{par(mfrow=c(3, 2))}

\text{plot initial pcm distribution}

\text{hist(init$pcm, breaks=seq(from=-0.5, to=11.5, by=1),}

\text{freq = \text{FALSE},}

\text{ylim = c(0, 0.2),}

\text{xlab="pcm",}

\text{main="pcm dist at 0 years")}

\text{res <= observer(init) }

\text{out <= res}

\text{\# iterate through all time steps}

\text{for (i in 2:length(times))}

\text{\# time <= time[i]}

\text{parma$DELATAT <= \text{times[i] - times[i-1]} }

\text{\# advance the simulation by one time step}

\text{init <= function(t, init, parma)

\text{pcmDist=pcmDist)+12)

\text{\text{plot pcm dist (ready) at selected times if}

\text{\text{time \% (50 \times 12)) \text{== 0 } }}

\text{hist(init$pcm,}

\text{breaks=seq(from=-0.5, to=11.5, by=1),}

\text{freq = \text{FALSE,}

\text{ylim = c(0, 0.2),}

\text{xlab="pcm",}

\text{main="pcm dist at : yrs")}

\text{res <= observer(init) }

\text{out <= rhout (out, res) }

\text{row.names(out) <= \text{NULL}

\text{out <= rhout(times, out) }

\text{as.data.frame(out) ) }

\text{\# is a plotting function to match observer function

\text{setMethod("plot", c("AgentBasedModel", "missing"),}

\text{function(x, y, \ldots) )

\text{o <= out (x)

\text{par(mfrow=c(1, 2))}

\text{plot(atimes / 12, o$number,}

\text{type="l", xlab="time",}

\text{ylab="abundance",}

\text{plot(atimes / 12, o$winpcm,}

\text{type="p", xlab="time",}

\text{ylab="most popular conception month")}

\text{) }

\text{\# RUN the MODEL}

\text{abm <= \text{sim(abm)}}

\text{plot(abm)}