For article: "Quality in Software Engineering: a pragmatic approach"
Please find below the list of points that the reviewer required I address, and an indication of how and where I have addressed them.

•   Include the terms 'measurement' or 'metric' in the title;
Page: 1
Amended title to "Quality in Software Engineering: a pragmatic approach using metrics"

•   Garvin seems to refer to a manufacturing paradigm. Expand the introduction to include standard definitions of software quality from established software texts.
Page: 1
Added a new first paragraph to section 1.

•   2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the introduction should read "… can be seen as a distillation…"
Page: 1
Added "as"

•   3rd sentence of the 1st paragraph of Section 2 should read "…indicating that there is a need…"
Page: 2
changed their to there

•   Explain clearly how the approach in this paper differs from the approaches listed in section 2.5;
Page: 4
Added para after para2 of ch3 indicating the main difference between the other approaches and mine

•   The literature survey should be expanded include PSP and to show how other models deal with traceability between requirements, code and testing.
Page: 1
Added PSP to 2nd para of introduction
Page: 3
Added section "The Personal Software Process (PSP)" as last paragraph of Section 2 (now 2.9)
Page: 4
Added sentence to end of 2nd last paragraph of 2.10
Page: 4
Amended 2nd paragraph of Section 3 with details of tests, defects, requirements.

•   Section 4.2, last sentence of the 2nd paragraph should read"…more source files for which to store meta-data and hence…"

Page: 6
Fixed

•   Section 4.3, 1st sentence should read "…relationship between tests, defects and requirements…"
Page: 7
Fixed

•   The author must give an indication of the size of the project; Case Study (Section 5) 2nd paragraph
Page: 8
Added indication of size to 2nd paragraph